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#### Abstract

We study the long-time dynamical properties of a chain of harmonically bound Brownian particles. This chain is allowed to wander everywhere in the plane. We show that the scaling variables for the occupation times $T_{j}$, areas $A_{j}$ and winding angles $\theta_{j}(j=1, \ldots, n$ labels the particles) take the same general form as in the usual Brownian motion. We also compute the asymptotic joint laws $P\left(\left\{T_{j}\right\}\right), P\left(\left\{A_{j}\right\}\right), P\left(\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}\right)$ and discuss the correlations occurring in those distributions.


## 1. Introduction

In order to study the dynamics of dilute polymer solutions, Rouse proposed in 1953 his famous model of harmonically bound Brownian particles (Rouse chain) [1]. Since that time, this model has become very popular in the field of polymer science. It appears that, despite its drawbacks and limitations (in particular, the absence of excluded volume and hydrodynamic interactions), it is conceptually important and useful to study the dynamics of polymers in melts [2,3]. In this paper, we will consider the free planar motion of such a chain of $n$ particles (monomers) and especially address its long-time $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ properties from the Brownian motion viewpoint.

A configuration of this chain being represented by a complex $n$-vector $z$ (the components $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ are the complex coordinates of the particles), we will study closed trajectories of length $t$, i.e. $z(t)=z(0)$ or open ones $(z(0)$ fixed, $z(t)$ left unspecified, i.e. integrated over).

More precisely, if we consider some given bounded domain $S$ of area $\mathcal{S}$ and define the occupation time $T_{j}$ as the time spent inside $S$ by the $j$ th particle, our goal is to compute the joint probability distribution $P\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)\left(\equiv P\left(\left\{T_{j}\right\}\right)\right)$. Similarly, $A_{j}$ and $\theta_{j}$ being, respectively, the area enclosed by the trajectory of the $j$ th particle and its winding angle around O , we will be interested in the joint laws $P\left(\left\{A_{j}\right\}\right)$ and $P\left(\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}\right)$.

On general grounds, we expect that the various properties of the chain will be strongly influenced by the free Brownian motion of the centre of mass (COM) of the chain. However, will they strictly satisfy the same laws? With the same scaling variables? What about the correlations between the different variables? Before answering these questions, first we recall some standard results concerning a planar Brownian particle with a diffusion constant $D$ [4-8].

Results (a) and (b) concern open trajectories when $t \rightarrow \infty$, while (c) concerns closed trajectories and is valid for all $t$.
(a) Kallianpur-Robbins' law [4] for the probability distribution of the occupation time $T$ of a bounded domain of area $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(T^{\prime}=\frac{4 \pi D T}{\mathcal{S} \ln t}\right)=\theta\left(T^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-T^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Spitzer's law [5] for the angle $\theta$ wound around a given point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\theta^{\prime}=\frac{2 \theta}{\ln t}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the characteristic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \theta^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-|\lambda|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Lévy's law [6] for the area $A$ enclosed by the closed trajectory of the particle:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(A^{\prime}=\frac{A}{2 D t}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}\left(\pi A^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{4}\\
& \left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B A^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{(B / 2)}{\sinh (B / 2)} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The distributions (a) and (c) have moments of all orders in contrast to (b) which has none.
These laws were discovered more than 40 years ago and, since that time, many refinements have been made. For instance, in [7], the authors found the asymptotic $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ joint law of the small $\left(\theta_{-}\right)$and big $\left(\theta_{+}\right)$windings. $\theta_{-}$(respectively, $\left.\theta_{+}\right)$are the angles wound around O and only counted when $r$ is smaller (respectively, greater) than some fixed $r_{0}(r$ is the distance separating the particle from O ). With the rescaled angles $\theta_{ \pm}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \theta_{ \pm}}{\ln t}$, the characteristic function takes the form [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{-} \theta_{-}^{\prime}+\lambda_{+} \theta_{+}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\cosh \left(\lambda_{+}\right)+\frac{\left|\lambda_{-}\right|}{\lambda_{+}} \sinh \left(\lambda_{+}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\lambda_{+}=\lambda_{-}=\lambda$ reverts to Spitzer's law (2)).
Note that (2) and (6) do not depend on the diffusion constant. This is quite different from Brownian motion on a bounded domain surrounding $O$. In that case, we have [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \theta}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-c D|\lambda| t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant that depends on the geometry and the boundary conditions. Here, $D$ appears as a multiplicator of $|\lambda|$. We will use this remark at the end of the paper.

## 2. The free Rouse chain

To begin our study, we consider the following set of coupled Langevin equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{z}_{1}=k\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)+\eta_{1} \\
& \dot{z}_{l}=k\left(z_{l+1}+z_{l-1}-2 z_{l}\right)+\eta_{l} \quad 2 \leqslant l \leqslant n-1  \tag{8}\\
& \dot{z}_{n}=k\left(z_{n-1}-z_{n}\right)+\eta_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is the spring constant and $\eta_{m}\left(\equiv \eta_{m x}+\mathrm{i} \eta_{m y}\right)$ a Gaussian white noise

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\eta_{m}(t)\right\rangle=0 \\
& \left\langle\eta_{m}(t) \eta_{m^{\prime}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=2 \delta_{m m^{\prime}} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

(This noise would correspond to a $D=\frac{1}{2}$ diffusion constant if the particles were free.)

For the chain COM, we obtain $\dot{z}_{G}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_{i}\right) \equiv \eta_{G}$ with $\left\langle\eta_{G}(t) \eta_{G}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{2}{n} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$. The COM motion is free with $D=1 /(2 n)$.

Introducing the complex $n$-vector $\eta$, equation (8) can be written in a matrix form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=-k M z+\eta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the tridiagonal ( $n \times n$ ) matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}=2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi(j-1)}{n}\right) \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\omega_{1}=0$; $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{M} \equiv \prod_{j=2}^{n} \omega_{j}=n$ ).
With the matrix $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega_{i}\right)$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega=R^{-1} M R  \tag{12}\\
& z=R Z \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}$ is an orthogonal matrix and the components of $Z$ are the normal coordinates, which we shall use extensively in the following. From $\boldsymbol{R}_{j 1}=1 / \sqrt{n}, j=1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that $Z_{1}\left(=\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} / \sqrt{n}\right)$ is essentially the COM coordinate. Note also that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \omega_{i}\left|Z_{i}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right|^{2}={ }^{t} \bar{z} M z$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)$ the probability for the chain to go from configuration $z^{(0)}$ at $t=0$ to $z$ at time $t . \mathcal{P}$ satisfies a Fokker-Planck equation [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \mathcal{P}=\left({ }^{t} \partial_{z} k M z+{ }^{t} \partial_{\bar{z}} k M \bar{z}+2^{t} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{z}\right) \mathcal{P} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{z}$ (respectively, $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ ) is an $n$-vector of components $\partial_{z_{i}}$ (respectively, $\partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}$ ) and ${ }^{t} \partial_{z}$ (respectively, ${ }^{t} \partial_{\bar{z}}$ ) is the transpose of $\partial_{z}$ (respectively, $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ ). The solution can be written in terms of a path integral $\left(\mathcal{D} z \mathcal{D} \bar{z}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{D} z_{i} \mathcal{D} \bar{z}_{i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t k M}\right) \int_{z^{(0)}}^{z} \mathcal{D} z \mathcal{D} \bar{z} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}{ }^{t}(\dot{\bar{z}}+k M \bar{z})(\dot{z}+k M z) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \equiv F\left(z, z^{(0)}\right) G_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(z, z^{(0)}\right) & =\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} k\left({ }^{t} \bar{z} \boldsymbol{M} z-{ }^{t} \bar{z}^{(0)} \boldsymbol{M} z^{(0)}\right)\right\} \\
& =\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} k \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\left|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right|^{2}-\left|z_{i}^{(0)}-z_{i-1}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} k \sum_{i=2}^{n} \omega_{i}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right|^{2}-\left|Z_{i}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
G_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)=\int_{z^{(0)}}^{z} \mathcal{D} z \mathcal{D} \bar{z} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left({ }^{t} \dot{\bar{z}} \dot{z}+k^{2 t} \bar{z} M^{2} z-2 k \operatorname{Tr} M\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
\quad=\langle z| \mathrm{e}^{-t H_{0}}\left|z^{(0)}\right\rangle
\end{array} \\
& H_{0}=-2^{t} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{z}+\frac{1}{2} k^{2 t} \bar{z} M^{2} z-k \operatorname{Tr} \boldsymbol{M} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, $\mathcal{P}$, equation (15), can be deduced easily from the Gaussian distribution of $\eta$ (using (10); $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t k M}\right)$ is the functional Jacobian for the change of variable $\eta \rightarrow z$ [11]).
$G_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)$ is most conveniently written in terms of the normal coordinates $Z_{i}$ and $Z_{i}^{(0)}$, clearly exhibiting the free motion of the COM [12]:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi t} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left|Z_{1}-Z_{1}^{(0)}\right|^{2}} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(\frac{s_{i} \mathrm{e}^{k \omega_{i} t}}{2 \pi} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{Z}_{i} c_{i} Z_{i}+\bar{Z}_{i}^{(0)} c_{i} Z_{i}^{(0)}-\bar{Z}_{i}^{(0)} s_{i} Z_{i}-\bar{Z}_{i} s_{i} Z_{i}^{(0)}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$s_{i}=\frac{k \omega_{i}}{\sinh \left(k \omega_{i} t\right)} \quad c_{i}=k \omega_{i} \operatorname{coth}\left(k \omega_{i} t\right)$.
When $k t \gg 1$, we obtain, for $G_{0}$, the limiting expression

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}^{\infty}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi t} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left|Z_{1}-Z_{1}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right\} \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(\frac{k \omega_{i}}{\pi} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} k \omega_{i}\left(\left|Z_{i}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{i}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right)\right\}\right)  \tag{19}\\
& \equiv \mathcal{G}_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) g_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ is the COM propagator and $g_{0}$ can be written simply in terms of $z_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}\left(z, z^{(0)}\right)=n\left(\frac{k}{\pi}\right)^{n-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} k \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(\left|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{i}^{(0)}-z_{i-1}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, as can be easily checked, $\mathcal{P}$ is properly normalized:

$$
\int \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} \mathcal{P}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)=1
$$

( $G_{0}$ given by (18) or (19)).
Now we turn to the computation of the joint law $P\left(\left\{T_{j}\right\}\right)$.

## 3. Distribution of occupation times

Recall that $T_{j}$ is the time spent by particle $j$ inside a bounded domain $S$ of area $\mathcal{S}$. We consider trajectories starting at $t=0$ from some given configuration $z^{(0)}$ and reaching at time $t$ the final configuration $z$. Leaving $z$ unspecified we have, with positive $p_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} T_{i}}\right\rangle= & \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t k M}\right) \int \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} \int_{z^{(0)}}^{z} \mathcal{D} z \mathcal{D} \bar{z} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}^{t}(\dot{\bar{z}}+k M \bar{z})(\dot{z}+k M z)+V_{P}(z)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)  \tag{21}\\
= & \int \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} F\left(z, z^{(0)}\right) G_{P}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{P}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)=\langle z| \mathrm{e}^{-t\left(H_{0}+V_{P}\right)}\left|z^{(0)}\right\rangle  \tag{23}\\
& V_{P}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \mathbf{1}_{S}\left(z_{i}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{S}\left(z_{i}\right)$ is the indicator function of the domain $S$. Symbolically, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{P}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} G_{0}\left(V_{P} G_{0}\right)^{m} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}\left(V_{P} G_{0}\right)^{m}= & \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \mathrm{~d} t_{m-1} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z^{(j)}\right) G_{0}\left(z, z^{(m)}, t-t_{m}\right) \\
& \times V_{P}\left(z^{(m)}\right) G_{0}\left(z^{(m)}, z^{(m-1)}, t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right) V_{P}\left(z^{(m-1)}\right) \ldots V_{P}\left(z^{(1)}\right) G_{0}\left(z^{(1)}, z^{(0)}, t_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(z^{(j)}\right.$ is the chain configuration at time $\left.t_{j} ; \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z^{(j)}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{i}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z_{i}^{(j)}\right)$.
Let us compute the contribution $N_{m}(t)$ of this generic term to (22). Integrating over $z$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{m}(t)=(-1)^{m} & \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \mathrm{~d} t_{m-1} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z^{(j)}\right) F\left(z^{(m)}, z^{(0)}\right) \\
& \times V_{P}\left(z^{(m)}\right) G_{0}\left(z^{(m)}, z^{(m-1)}, t_{m}-t_{m-1}\right) \ldots V_{P}\left(z^{(1)}\right) G_{0}\left(z^{(1)}, z^{(0)}, t_{1}\right)  \tag{27}\\
\equiv & (-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} \int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z^{(j)} V_{P}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right) F\left(z^{(m)}, z^{(0)}\right) \Phi\left(t_{m},\left\{z^{(l)}\right\}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a time convolution product of the free propagators $G_{0}$. Disregarding the spatial integrations for the moment, the above expression is well suited, in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, to applying Tauberian theorems [13]. Introducing the Laplace transform $\hat{\Phi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}\left(u,\left\{z^{(l)}\right\}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-u t^{\prime}} \Phi\left(t^{\prime},\left\{z^{(l)}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}=\prod_{k=1}^{m} \hat{G}_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, u\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we note that, when $u \rightarrow 0^{+}$,
$\hat{G}_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, u\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-u t^{\prime}} G_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \sim \int_{a}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-u t^{\prime}} G_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}$
for some large $a$. So, we can use the asymptotic form $G_{0}^{\infty}$ in the computation of $\hat{G}_{0}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, u\right) \underset{u \rightarrow 0^{+}}{\sim} \ln \left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \frac{1}{2 \pi} g_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A weak Tauberian theorem [13] gives, for the time integration in (28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} \Phi\left(t_{m},\left\{z^{(l)}\right\}\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}\left(\frac{\ln t}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{m} g_{0}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the result for $N_{m}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{m}(t) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}(-1)^{m}\left(\frac{n L_{P} \ln t}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{P}=\int\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} z_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{i}\right) V_{P}(z)\left(\frac{k}{\pi}\right)^{n-1} \mathrm{e}^{-k \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right|^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{P}$ is computed with $V_{P}$, equation (24): $L_{P}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \mathcal{S}$.
Rescaling the occupation times $T_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \pi T_{i}}{n \mathcal{S} \ln t} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} T_{i}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)^{m}=\frac{1}{1+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relationship is actually valid, by analytic continuation, for any set of positive $p_{i}$ (and not only when $\left.\sum p_{i}<1\right)$. This is because the distribution $P\left(\left\{T_{j}\right\}\right)$ has moments of all orders and consequently $\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} T_{i}}\right\rangle$ is holomorphic when $\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$.

Equation (36) leads to the probability distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{T_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)=\theta\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-T_{1}^{\prime}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \delta\left(T_{i}^{\prime}-T_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $n=1$ reverts to the Kallianpur-Robbins law).
So, in the large time limit, the $T_{i}$ are strongly correlated leading to identical ( $T_{i}^{\prime}$ ). Moreover, we remark that $T_{i}$ scales like $n$ and, also, that the law for the COM would be the same as for one monomer (compare (35) to (1) with $D=1 /(2 n)$ ): the COM free motion dominates this process.

We also obtained similar exponential distributions for the rescaled variables $T^{\prime}$ in the following cases.
(a) $T$ is the time spent when the whole chain is inside $S . L_{P}$, equation (34), is now computed with $V_{P}(z)=p\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{S}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)$. Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\mathcal{S})=\int\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} z_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{i} \mathbf{1}_{S}\left(z_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-k \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left|z_{i}-z_{i-1}\right|^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rescaled variable may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)^{n-1} \frac{2 \pi T}{n w(\mathcal{S}) \ln t} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast to equation (35), $k$ is now present in the asymptotic law. For instance, if $S$ is a small disc of radius $r_{0}\left(k r_{0}^{2} \ll 1\right)$, then $w(\mathcal{S}) \sim \mathcal{S}^{n}$ and $T$ scales like $k^{n-1}$ : when $k$ grows, the chain collapses and it is easier to confine it within a given domain.
(b) $T$ is the time spent by the chain when at least one of its particles is inside $S . \quad T^{\prime}$ is similar to (39) except that $w(\mathcal{S})$ must be changed: $L_{P}$ is now computed with $V_{P}(z)=p\left(1-\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\mathbf{1}_{S}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\right)$.
To conclude this section, let us draw two lessons.
(a) The scaling variables take the same general form as for the free Brownian particle. In the following, we will show that it is still true for the other quantities we study.
(b) For the computation of the perturbation theory, when $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can systematically use the asymptotic form $G_{0}^{\infty}$ of the unperturbed propagator. Obviously, for this consideration to hold, we must be sure that the perturbation series is well behaved. Under this condition, we will make significant use of this remark.

## 4. Distribution of areas

We now compute the distribution of areas, $P\left(\left\{A_{j}\right\}\right)$, for closed trajectories of length $t$ starting and ending at some fixed $z^{(0)}$. To do so, we insert the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta\left(A_{j}-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{i}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(z_{j} \dot{\bar{z}}_{j}-\bar{z}_{j} \dot{z}_{j}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the measure (15) and use the relationship $\delta(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B x} \mathrm{~d} B$. It is easy to show that this manipulation amounts to adding $n$ different magnetic fields $B_{j}$ to the initial system. Those fields are uniform, orthogonal to the motion plane and such that particle $j$ is subject to $B_{j}$.

With the $(n \times n)$ diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i j}=B_{i} \delta_{i j}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{A_{i}\right\}\right)=\int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} B_{j}}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B_{j} A_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{G_{B}\left(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, t\right)}{G_{0}\left(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, t\right)}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{B}\left(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, t\right)=\left\langle z^{(0)}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-t H_{B}}\left|z^{(0)}\right\rangle  \tag{42}\\
& H_{B}=H_{0}+V_{B}  \tag{43}\\
& V_{B}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-{ }^{t} z \boldsymbol{B} \partial_{z}+{ }^{t} \bar{z} \boldsymbol{B} \partial_{\bar{z}}\right)+\frac{1}{8}^{t} \bar{z} \boldsymbol{B}^{2} z  \tag{44}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(-^{t} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime} \partial_{Z}+{ }^{t} \bar{Z} \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{Z}}\right)+\frac{1}{8}{ }^{t} \bar{Z} \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{Z} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B R}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}^{2} \boldsymbol{R}\right)$. In principle, $P\left(\left\{A_{j}\right\}\right)$ depends on $z^{(0)}$ but we will show that, actually, this is not the case when $t \rightarrow \infty$ (note that, for all $t, P\left(\left\{A_{j}\right\}\right)$ does not depend on the COM of $z^{(0)}$. This is due to translation invariance and this is the reason why we consider the propagator and not the partition function, which would diverge like the area of the plane, leading to serious problems in the perturbation theory).

Let us now sketch the perturbative computation of $G_{B}$. Following our previous remarks, we will use $G_{0}^{\infty}$ for the unperturbed propagator. The generic term may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} & \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \mathrm{~d} t_{m-1} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{(j)} \mathrm{d} z^{(j)}\right) \ldots \\
& \ldots G_{0}^{\infty}\left(z^{(j+1)}, z^{(j)}, t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right) V_{B}\left(z^{(j)}\right) G_{0}^{\infty}\left(z^{(j)}, z^{(j-1)}, t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right) \ldots \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

With normal coordinates, $V_{B}\left(z^{(j)}\right)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B}\left(z^{(j)}\right)=\sum_{i, l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime} \partial_{Z_{l}^{(j)}}+\bar{Z}_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{Z}_{l}^{(j)}}\right)+\frac{1}{8} \bar{Z}_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime \prime} Z_{l}^{(j)}\right) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now proceed by inspection.
(a) For the terms $Z_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime} \partial_{Z_{l}^{(j)}}$, only terms with $i=j$ will survive after integration. The same holds for $\bar{Z}_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{Z}_{l}^{(j)}}$. Moreover, the diagonal contributions cancel exactly except when $i=j=1$.
(b) We reach the same conclusion for the terms $Z_{i}^{(j)} \boldsymbol{B}_{i l}^{\prime \prime} Z_{l}^{(j)}$ (non-diagonal terms vanish after integration. Diagonal contributions, $i=j>1$, are subleading (compared with $i=j=1$ ) when $k t \gg 1$ : we recover the fact that the process is dominated by the COM motion).

We are finally left with an effective perturbation $V_{B}^{\text {eff }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{B}^{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{1} \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime} \partial_{Z_{1}}+\bar{Z}_{1} \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{Z}_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{8} \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only the first mode is affected by the magnetic fields and we can disregard the other modes, which will cancel when taking the ratio $G_{B} / G_{0}$.

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}^{2}\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective Hamiltonian for the remaining mode takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{B}^{\text {eff }}=-2 \partial_{Z_{1}} \partial_{\bar{Z}_{1}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{1} \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime} \partial_{Z_{1}}+\bar{Z}_{1} \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{Z}_{1}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

It describes the behaviour of a charged particle subject to a uniform magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}$ and a harmonic oscillator of frequency $\omega=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}$. Using known results about this problem [14], we immediately find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{G_{B}\left(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, t\right)}{G_{0}\left(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, t\right)} & =\frac{t \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}\right.} \\
\times & \times \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}\right)-\cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} t \boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|Z_{1}^{(0)}\right|^{2}}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}\right)}\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

However, for our computation to be consistent, we must consider this expression in the large-time limit. This is readily done by rescaling the areas $A_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i} / t$ and taking $t \rightarrow \infty$. The final expression for the characteristic function of $P\left(\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is quite simple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j} A_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}}\right)} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}^{2}$; when $n=1$, equation (52) reverts to Lévy's result, equation (5)).
Owing to the form of $\boldsymbol{B}_{11}^{\prime \prime}, P\left(\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ will only be a function of the variable $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}$ ( $\equiv A^{\prime}$ ), showing clearly that the $\left(A_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are correlated. Its determination is reduced to the computation of the following integral [13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \equiv P\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{2 n}{\pi}\right)^{n / 2} \frac{1}{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{n / 2-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{n / 2-1}\left(A^{\prime} r\right) \frac{r^{n / 2+1}}{\sinh (r)} \mathrm{d} r \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{v}$ is a Bessel function. Closed-form expressions can be given for odd $n$ values. For instance,
$n=3 \quad P\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\frac{3 \pi}{2 A^{\prime}} \frac{\tanh \left(\pi \sqrt{3} A^{\prime}\right)}{\cosh ^{2}\left(\pi \sqrt{3} A^{\prime}\right)}$
$n=5 \quad P\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\frac{5}{4 A^{\prime 3}} \frac{\tanh \left(\pi \sqrt{5} A^{\prime}\right)-\left(\pi \sqrt{5} A^{\prime}\right)\left(1-3 \tanh ^{2}\left(\pi \sqrt{5} A^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\cosh ^{2}\left(\pi \sqrt{5} A^{\prime}\right)}$.
The distribution of the sum of the areas $\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$, is obtained by setting $B_{j}=B, \forall j$. Equation (52) leads to $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A} / t\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B \mathcal{A}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{B}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} B\right)} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

With equation (5), we see that the sum of areas has, asymptotically, exactly the same distribution as the area enclosed by a single Brownian particle. In fact, we can compute $\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B \mathcal{A}^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ for all $t$ values (and not only when $t \rightarrow \infty)$. This is because, in this case, the matrix $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{i j}=B \delta_{i j}\right)$ commutes with $\boldsymbol{M}$. So, we are left with a $\{$ harmonic oscillator + uniform magnetic field $\}$ problem for each normal coordinate (except for $Z_{1}$, which only feels a pure magnetic field). We obtain the result [14]
$\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B \cdot \mathcal{A}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{B}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} B\right)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \frac{F_{i}(B)}{F_{i}(0)}$
$F_{i}(B)=\frac{\omega_{i}^{\prime}}{2 \pi \sinh \left(t \omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \exp \left(-\frac{\omega_{i}^{\prime}}{2 \pi \sinh \left(t \omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\cosh \left(t \omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\cosh (B / 2)\right)\left|Z_{i}^{(0)}\right|^{2}\right)$
$\omega_{i}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\omega_{i}^{2}+\left(\frac{B}{2 t}\right)^{2}}$
We recover (56) in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty\left(\prod_{i=2}^{n} F_{i}(B) / F_{i}(0) \rightarrow 1\right.$ when $\left.t \rightarrow \infty\right)$.
To close this section, it is interesting to consider the asymptotic law for the area $A_{j}^{\prime}$ ( $=A_{j} / t$ ) enclosed by a given monomer $j$. Equation (52) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} B_{j} A_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{B_{j}}{2 \sqrt{n} \sinh \left(B_{j} / 2 \sqrt{n}\right)} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $A_{j}$ satisfies Lévy's law (5) and scales like $t / \sqrt{n}$. We remark that the area swept by the chain COM, $G$, should scale like $t / n$. On the other hand, for the same Gaussian noise, we would get $A_{j} \sim t$ if particle $j$ was free (i.e. $k=0$ ). The actual scaling of $A_{j}$ is intermediate: this particle moves more freely than $G$ but it is embedded in the chain, thus it is not completely free.

These considerations allow us to give a more precise sense to the statement: 'the process is dominated by the COM motion'. This is true as long as we look at occupation times. However, when we study finer quantities such as areas, this statement must be corrected. Similar (even more dramatic) deviations will occur when we look at winding angles.

To close the discussion of areas, let us remark that the case of open trajectories can be treated exactly along the same lines as that developed here, without additional difficulties (in particular, equation (48) still holds). We will not address this problem in this paper.

## 5. Distribution of winding angles

The last part of this paper will be devoted to the distribution $P\left(\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}\right)\left(\theta_{j}\right.$ is the angle wound around O by particle $j$ during a time $t$ ). We consider the same conditions as for Spitzer's law $\left(z^{(0)}\right.$, initial configuration, fixed, with $z_{j}^{(0)} \neq 0, \forall j ; z$, final configuration, unspecified; $t \rightarrow \infty$ ).

We proceed as before and insert the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta\left(\theta_{j}-\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{z_{j} \dot{\bar{z}}_{j}-\bar{z}_{j} \dot{z}_{j}}{z_{j} \bar{z}_{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Wiener measure (15). We are now faced with the problem of $n$ harmonically bound particles subject to the magnetic fields of $n$ different point-like vortices located at the origin.

The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\lambda}=H_{0}+V_{\lambda}  \tag{62}\\
& V_{\lambda}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(\frac{1}{z_{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}-\frac{1}{\bar{z}_{i}} \partial_{z_{i}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{2}}{2 z_{i} \bar{z}_{i}} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

and the distribution $P\left(\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}\right)=\int\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \lambda_{j}}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda_{j} \theta_{j}}\right) \int \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} F\left(z, z^{(0)}\right) G_{\lambda}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)  \tag{64}\\
& G_{\lambda}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)=\langle z| \mathrm{e}^{-t H_{\lambda}}\left|z^{(0)}\right\rangle . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Studying the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we cannot develop a perturbation theory with $V_{\lambda}$ directly as before: this is because the last term in $V_{\lambda}$ gives a divergent contribution [9]. Due to this term, all the eigenfunctions of $H_{\lambda}$ must vanish in O at least as $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|}(\equiv U(z))$. So, we redefine those eigenfunctions [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=U \tilde{\Psi} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The new Hamiltonian acting on $\tilde{\Psi}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{H}_{\lambda}=H_{0}+\tilde{V}_{\lambda}  \tag{67}\\
& \tilde{V}_{\lambda}(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\lambda_{i}-\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right) \frac{1}{z_{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}-\left(\lambda_{i}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right) \frac{1}{\bar{z}_{i}} \partial_{z_{i}}\right) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

with a propagator $\tilde{G}_{\lambda}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{\lambda}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right)=\langle z| \mathrm{e}^{-t \tilde{H}_{\lambda}}\left|z^{(0)}\right\rangle=\frac{U\left(z^{(0)}\right)}{U(z)} G_{\lambda}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\tilde{G}_{0}=G_{0}$ ). In this case, the perturbation theory is properly defined and we can compute the characteristic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right) \equiv\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \theta_{j}}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left|z_{j}\right|^{\left|\lambda_{j}\right|}}{\left|z_{j}^{(0)}\right|^{\left|\lambda_{j}\right|}}\right) F\left(z, z^{(0)}\right) \tilde{G}_{\lambda}\left(z, z^{(0)}, t\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

with, symbolically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{\lambda}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m} G_{0}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{V}_{\lambda} G_{0}^{\infty}\right)^{m} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using integration by parts and also the relationship $\partial_{z_{i}}\left(1 / z_{i}\right)=\pi \delta\left(z_{i}\right)$, we first calculated $C\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)$ up to fourth order in $\tilde{V}_{\lambda}$, with the result
$C\left(\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right) \sim \mathrm{e}^{X / 2} D(X)$
$D(X)=1+\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-X}{1!}+\frac{X^{2}}{2!} n-\frac{X^{3}}{3!}\left(\frac{3 n^{2}-1}{2}\right)+\frac{X^{4}}{4!}\left(3 n^{3}-2 n\right)-\cdots\right)$
$X=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right) \ln t$.
The prefactor $\mathrm{e}^{X / 2}$ comes from $U(z)$ in (70) when integrated over the final configuration: it will be present at all orders of the computation. Moreover, (72) suggests that $C\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)$ is only a function of $X$. This is actually the case, as will be shown in the following.

Let us consider the $m$ th-order term in (70) and (71), and suppose that we integrate, first, over $z, z^{(m)}, z^{(m-1)}, \ldots, z^{(k+1)}$. Following the computation step by step, it is not difficult to convince oneself that the integration over $z^{(k)}$ involves expressions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{(k)} \mathrm{d} z^{(k)} \phi\left(z^{(k)}, T\right) \tilde{V}_{\lambda}\left(z^{(k)}\right) G_{0}^{\infty}\left(z^{(k)}, z^{(k-1)}, t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(z^{(k)}, T\right)=\exp \left\{-\frac{\left|Z_{1}^{(k)}\right|^{2}}{2 T}\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} k \omega_{i}\left|Z_{i}^{(k)}\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $T=t_{l}-t_{k}, k+1 \leqslant l \leqslant m$. Let us call $J_{k}$ the result of equation (75). In the limit of long times, it reads

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J_{k}=-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right)\left(-\frac{n+1}{2\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)} \phi\left(z^{(k-1)}, t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{T+t_{k}-t_{k-1}} \phi\left(z^{(k-1)}, T+t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)\right) \tag{77}
\end{array}
$$

The $m$ successive spatial integrations produce the factor $\left(\sum\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right)^{m}$ and, at the end, we are left with time integrals of the form
$I_{m}\left(i_{m-1}, \ldots, i_{0}\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{m} \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \mathrm{~d} t_{m-1} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \frac{\exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{t_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{i}}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\right)}{\left(t_{i_{m-1}}-t_{m-1}\right) \ldots\left(t_{i_{1}}-t_{1}\right) t_{i_{0}}}$
with $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{m-1}=i_{m-2}=\cdots=i_{k}=m \\
& i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}=\cdots=i_{l}=k \\
& i_{l-1}=i_{l-2}=\cdots=i_{j}=l \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

We have proved, step by step, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}\left(i_{m-1}, \ldots, i_{0}\right)(t) \sim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\ln t)^{m}}{\prod_{l=0}^{m-1}\left(i_{l}-l\right)} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

These considerations show that, actually, $C\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)$ is only a function of $X$. So, we can write $D(X)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m} X^{m}$, with $a_{0}=1$ (see equation (73)).

Moreover, with the help of the previous equation (80) and also looking at the tree structure exhibited in equation (77), the following recursion relation can be shown to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{m}=y \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{a_{k}}{(m-k)!}  \tag{81}\\
& y=-\frac{1}{2}(n+1) . \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

It allows us to write a closed form formula for $D(X)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(X)=\frac{1}{1-y\left(\mathrm{e}^{X}-1\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-/ 2}}{\cosh (X / 2)+n \sinh (X / 2)} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (72) and also a rescaling of the angles $\left(\theta_{i}^{\prime}=2 \theta_{i} / \ln t\right)$, we obtain the desired characteristic function:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \theta_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\cosh (u)+n \sinh (u)}  \tag{84}\\
& u=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

(with $n=1$, we recover equation (3)).
We consider equation (84) to be the main result of this paper.
Finally, Fourier transformation shows that $P\left(\left\{\theta_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is an 'infinite sum of products of Spitzer's laws' (!) with highly correlated variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left\{\theta_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right)=\frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)^{k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\pi(2 k+1)} \frac{1}{1+\left(\theta_{j}^{\prime} /(2 k+1)\right)^{2}}\right)\right\} . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the moments of this distribution are infinite (unless they vanish trivially).
For a given particle $j$ of the chain, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda_{j} \theta_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\cosh \left(\lambda_{j}\right)+n \sinh \left(\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\right)} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\theta_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{\pi(2 k+1)} \frac{1}{1+\left(\theta_{j}^{\prime} /(2 k+1)\right)^{2}}\right\} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference from Spitzer's law is due to the presence of $n$ in the denominator of equation (87).

To shed some light on this problem, let us go back to the joint law (6) of small and big windings for the chain COM. What could we expect for the corresponding windings of particle $j$ ? With little effort, we can say that
(a) the big windings will be roughly the same for both (when the chain is far from O , particle $j$ follows the COM and winds around O in the same way). So, we keep $\lambda_{+}$unchanged in (6);
(b) the small windings will be quite different. This is because particle $j$ is maintained artificially in the vicinity of O : despite its higher mobility, it spends the same time as the COM in a given domain surrounding O . Consequently, its small windings law will be broadened. Assuming that the remark following equation (7) holds, we get this broadening by replacing $\left|\lambda_{-}\right|$with $n\left|\lambda_{-}\right|$in (6) ( $n$ is the ratio of the diffusion constants; of course, we do not say at all that (7) is the law of small windings!).

Thus, our guess for particle $j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{+} \theta_{j+}^{\prime}+\lambda_{-} \theta_{j-}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\cosh \left(\lambda_{+}\right)+n\left(\left|\lambda_{-}\right| / \lambda_{+}\right) \sinh \left(\lambda_{+}\right)} . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\lambda_{+}=\lambda_{-}=\lambda_{j}$, we recover (87). We are aware that this argument is strictly heuristic and that (89) remains to be proved. Nevertheless, we think that it allows us to explain correctly the presence of $n$ in equation (87).

## 6. Conclusion

Let us briefly summarize this work. We have computed explicitly the asymptotic joint laws of the occupation times, areas and winding angles of a chain of harmonically bound Brownian particles.

For all of these properties, we have shown that the scaling variables take the same general form as for the standard Brownian motion. However, a detailed study reveals important specific features that reflect a subtle interplay between the free COM motion-that strongly influences the whole chain properties-and the relative freedom of a given particle of the chain. For the distribution of occupation times, it appears that the COM satisfies the same law as a given monomer; now, for the areas, the scaling becomes slightly different and, finally, for the winding angles, the law itself is changed. Note also that correlations are systematically present.

Moreover, we observe that the scaling variables and the laws are very different from those met in our study of the attached Rouse chain [15] (in the latter case, $\theta \sim t, A \sim \sqrt{t}$ and the winding angles are uncorrelated). These differences are not so surprising since, in that case, we had no translation invariance. An open and interesting question concerns the properties of the Rouse chain in a random environment. We will address this problem in a forthcoming publication [16].
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